

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
v.)	Criminal No. 1:08CR385
BRANDY ANDERSON,))	
Defendant)	

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Were the United States to proceed to trial in this case, it would provide testimonial, documentary and real evidence to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that, from no later than September 2007 through August 1, 2008, in the Eastern District of Virginia and elsewhere, defendant BRANDY ANDERSON (the "Defendant") did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly agree, combine and conspire with others to execute and attempt to execute a scheme or artifice (i) to defraud a financial institution and (ii) to obtain moneys, funds and credit owned by and under the custody and control of a financial institution by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses and representations in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371 (Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud). Specifically, the evidence would establish, at a minimum, the following facts:

In approximately September 2007, Defendant joined an ongoing conspiracy which had the purpose of defrauding financial institutions of substantial sums of money by fraudulently assuming the identities of account holders on existing home equity line of credit loans (HELOC), and causing financial institutions to transfer funds from those HELOC accounts to overseas bank accounts controlled by co-conspirators.

Defendant's co-conspirators carried out their fraudulent scheme by first identifying individuals who held large HELOC accounts, typically greater than \$200,000. To identify victims, Defendant's co-conspirators obtained lists of first and second mortgage borrowers from direct marketing companies that sold lists of sales leads for mortgage products. Defendant's co-conspirators obtained personal identifying information, including social security numbers, password clue information, and signature exemplars for victims from a variety of online sources. Defendant's co-conspirators also fraudulently obtained unauthorized access to potential victims' credit reports on publicly available credit report ordering websites to obtain information about potential victims' bank and HELOC accounts.

Once members of the conspiracy identified a target HELOC account and account holder and completed gathering personal identifying information about the target, certain members of the conspiracy called customer service contacts at target financial institutions and impersonated the HELOC account holders. To create the false appearance that the call originated from the HELOC account holders, Defendant's co-conspirators would use a caller id spoofing service to cause a false phone number to appear in the call recipient's caller identification display.

After providing the correct account information for a HELOC account holder, the fraudulent caller would first verify the unused balance on a HELOC account if the caller did not already know that information. Next, the caller would transfer funds out of the HELOC account and into a checking or savings account—although this was sometimes accomplished by logging into the victim's online account. Then, the caller would request a wire transfer of the funds to a foreign bank account controlled by members of the conspiracy. When a financial institution required written confirmations of wire transfer requests, Defendant's co-conspirators would request that the financial

institution email or fax the wire transfer form to a free, web-based email account established by one or more members of the conspiracy. Members of the conspiracy subscribed to a fax-to-web service that converted incoming faxes to a free web-based email account. The co-conspirator would then complete the wire transfer form and forge the HELOC account holder's signature based on exemplars obtained from loan documents and publicly-available, property-record databases. Additionally, the fraudulent caller would call the account holder's phone company and have the victim's home phone number forwarded to a pre-paid cell phone in the possession of one of the co-conspirators for a period of several hours in order to receive confirmation phone calls from the financial institution.

Defendant's co-conspirators would direct the wire transfers to be directed to overseas bank accounts controlled by other members of the conspiracy. These overseas co-conspirators would keep a percentage of the fraudulently obtained proceeds and then cause the remainder of the proceeds to be transferred to accounts in Nigeria or the United States controlled by the U.S.-based members of the conspiracy, including Defendant's bank account. Portions of the illegally obtained proceeds would be shared among the persons who performed some role in scheme.

As part of this fraudulent scheme, on or about December 7, 2007, TOBECHI ONWUHARA, impersonating the holder of a HELOC account with the United States Senate Federal Credit Union ("USSFCU"), called USSFCU's customer support in the Eastern District of Virginia, provided the account holder's personal account information, and fraudulently caused USSFCU to execute a wire transfer in the amount of \$280,000 dollars from Victim 1's account to a co-conspirator's bank account in Korea. The victim account holder resides in Alexandria, Virginia, which is in the Eastern District of Virginia.

In furtherance of this scheme, Defendant would receive funds she knew had been fraudulently obtained from this scheme by wire transfer and other means from other members of the conspiracy, including PRECIOUS MATTHEWS. Defendant would then distribute portions of these fraudulently obtained funds to co-conspirator ABEL NNABUE.

On or about January 22, 2008, Defendant received a wire transfer in the amount of \$25,000 in funds obtained through the fraudulent scheme into her Bank of America bank account from co-conspirator PRECIOUS MATTHEWS.

On or about January 28, 2008, Defendant received a wire transfer in the amount of \$4,200 in funds obtained through the fraudulent scheme into her Bank of America bank account from co-conspirator PRECIOUS MATTHEWS.

Respectfully submitted,

Dana J. Boente Acting United States Attorney

By:

John Eisinger

Assistant United States Attorney

Tyler G. Newby

Special Assistant United States Attorney

After consulting with my attorney and pursuant to the plea agreement entered into this day between the Defendant, BRANDY ANDERSON and the United States, I hereby stipulate that the above Statement of Facts is true and accurate, and that had the matter proceeded to trial, the United States would have proved the same beyond a reasonable doubt.

BRANDY ANDERSON

Defendant

I am BRANDY ANDERSON's attorney. I have carefully reviewed the above Statement of Facts with her. To my knowledge, her decision to stipulate to these facts is an informed and voluntary one.

Whitney Minter

Attorney for BRANDY ANDERSON

Date: 11 16 08